### Summary and conclusions



#### Regional Workshop "Mainstreaming Ecosystem Services Approaches into Development"

6-8 February 2012





### **Overall Context**

- TEEB a global assessment on the economic impacts associated with losing natural capital.
  - It outlines the cost of policy inaction (in a business as usual scenario) and the high costs of loss of ecosystems over a 50 year period.
  - It describes in economic terms the links between eliminating poverty and conserving biodiversity and ecosystems – incorporating the costs of ecosystems services into the GDP of the poor.
- Application of economic valuation of ecosystems services to influencing innovative response policies





- Theory behind the discipline, context of its emergence
- Different kinds of approaches
- Existing international mechanisms that contain aspects
  - PEI, SGA, Green Economy, TEEB, PES
  - ABS, Ramsar Wetland Banking, carbon offset, REDD+





- What opportunities does it provide?
  - Incorporation of ecosystem service in development planning/accounting
  - Complementing science-policy interface for sustainable policymaking
  - Support/assess policy (investment) decisions
  - Eliciting policy responses
- Case studies: valuation of coastal/wetland ecosystem service





- Points of discussion / consideration:
  - How to create/identify value in something where market traditionally does not exist (public goods, externality, property rights)
  - Comparing cost of doing nothing with potential costs & benefits of different actions
  - How to downscale the "large numbers"…global → national analyses





- Points of discussion / consideration (cont.):
  - Clarity and confidence of data (sample size, etc.)
  - Valuation by different ecosystem service types
  - Matching valuation theme with different methodologies, and/or developing hybrid valuation methodologies
  - Cost / cost-effectiveness of valuation





- Travel Cost Method
- Contingency Valuation Method
- Choice Experiments





#### Travel Cost Method

- Measures use values
- Calculates cost & time for people during recreational trip to a site
- Improvements, refinements
- Zonal, Individual, Random Utility TCM





### Contingency Valuation Method

- Uses surveys to elicit maximum willingness to pay for hypothetical market
- Flexible; no limit on range of environmental values
- Hypothetical and maybe prone to bias in estimates





#### Choice Experiments

- Attribute-based stated preference method of environmental valuation
- Elicits value of various elements
- Simple and logical
- Respondents need good knowledge
- Complex experimental design





- Case studies: TEEB and UK-NEA
- Group Exercise:
  - Select an ecosystem type
  - Identify a set of drivers of change that affect the particular ecosystem
  - For each driver, determine the impact and current trend





- Use of economic values and innovative response policies
  - -Sub-Global Assessments and IPBES
  - -Linking valuation and livelihoods
  - Linking valuation and PES: Looking into costs of undertaking assessments and setting up PES viz benefits





Case studies:

- Marine ecosystems
- Mountain ecosystems
- International rivers
- Forest ecosystems





- Political Challenges
  - Gap in valuation-policy linkage
  - Political commitment
  - Engagement of wide range of stakeholders, communication to decision-makers
  - Valuation in a transboundary context
- Institutional Challenges
  - Compartmentalization of services vs. integrated approach for policy response
  - Official, international processes vs. semi/unofficial local processes





#### Market Challenges

- Linking (transaction) costs and benefits
- Distribution of benefits
- Sustainability of the market
- Other challenges(?):
  - Why some PES works, and some not?
  - Incorporating cost of life? Cost of ivelihoods?
  - Better understanding of ecological functions





- Some lessons: Economic values and innovative response policies
  - Policy making is a process involving major stakeholders and valuation of ES contributes directly into this process – through informed decision making, improved communication, engaging diverse stakeholders, etc.
  - Developing common understanding on impacts and cooperation on water use and the need for values (benefits and costs) to influence negotiation